

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

This is an important topic, so a further article was needed, adding to A-589. The lies and deceit surrounding 'refugees' and 'asylum seekers' is amazingly rife, and some of it is laughable in its attempt to elicit sympathy for people whose aims are less than honourable and peaceful. Do NOT assume I have no love for people, or that I do not show empathy with those who are real refugees. My aim in this article and many others about Islam, is to [prepare believers with the truth about a false religion God hates and which we CANNOT accept or show sympathy for.

(Though the main thrust is about Muslims, similar arguments can be raised about other so-called 'refugees'. The West is being weighed-down by criminal and illegal migrants. It is about time it stopped... but this requires open information, which governments are not issuing).

Note our several warnings not to be fooled by the term 'moderate': most violence and murders are undertaken by these 'ordinary' Muslims. And as many Islamic leaders tell us, there is **no such thing**

as a moderate Muslim! It is true that most Muslims in the UK live quietly, with no fuss. But, as I have argued before with good reason, when 'moderates' are commanded or intimidated by radicals, they will obey the radicals, because they fear the consequences. BUT – this is irrelevant if they then go on to hate, support terror, or become terrorists. The reasons do not matter; what matters is the outcome. There is also the Koranic teaching to smile outwardly while hating inwardly. So, is your peaceful Muslim REALLY peaceful? There is no true way to find out until it is too late.

One ex-Muslim from Africa says that many Muslims are so because of upbringing, but few read the Koran (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9yUJZ6fErmw>). She adds that once they read the Koran, they start on violent sprees, because Mohammed murdered anyone who refused to accept his ideas; if Mohammed did it, it is alright for modern Muslims to copy him! The woman read all this in the Koran and promptly left Islam. This is the problem we have today amongst all poorer Muslims – they are brought up to hear what Mohammed said and try to emulate him, and this includes murder and lies.

Some authorities suggest that Allah is simply a resurrection of the old Canaanite god, Baal. Others, and this is our position, say that Allah is a reformulated moon-god (see relevant articles). Baal or moon-god, both are pagan. But, it is the resurgence of Mohammed's murdering ways that should concern the West, because 'ordinary' Muslims are being taught to do so and are coming to the West in their millions. It is why Israel is experiencing so many murders by 'Palestinians' on a daily basis. That African woman saw the real heart of Islam and

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

left in disgust; she saw Mohammed in the Koran as one who advocated murder and violence, not peace. Will you take heed? Or, are you still bewitched by your emotions or by what I refer to as the ['Good Samaritan' Disorder \(GSD – see next section\)](#) ?

The Dual Error of the West

Christians AND unbelievers share the same angst over the supposed 'refugee' crisis. This angst is unjustified and an indication of a national pathology! And behind that is Satan's end-time moves, which include the rise of mass murders and violence.

The first pathological problem so evident amongst the people of the West is known as Stockholm Syndrome. Today, it has been modified so that it affects whole nations. Basically, Stockholm Syndrome/capture-bonding. Wikipedia puts it well:

"Stockholm syndrome, or capture-bonding, is a psychological phenomenon described in 1973 in which hostages express empathy and sympathy and have positive feelings toward their captors, sometimes to the point of defending and identifying with the captors."

Abused wives succumb to this type of mental dependence on abuse, and usually end up blaming themselves for the violence committed by their husbands. The same Syndrome is now found in Western countries, relating to Islam and its terrorism, to such an extent that they identify with their assailants and even defend them. On top of that they blame themselves for the rise of Islamic evils! (See A-592 on this phenomenon, which is unjustifiable).

The second pathology is what I now call 'The Good Samaritan Disorder' (GSD). Many Christians use this biblical account to rationalise their inordinate (and illusory) 'love' for displaced Muslims. The Good Samaritan' text has nothing to do with what is now happening worldwide, or with mass migration of Muslims! The problem this causes is very like an actual mental disorder, for it makes no sense. Indeed, GSD leads people to want to help everybody, no matter who they are or what they intend to do – even murder of the sympathiser.

They fear being called 'haters' of Muslims, so they dive in head first, to 'love' and help all Muslim migrants. This is irrational and fruitless, if not dangerous to the one who offers sympathy. Coupled with Stockholm Syndrome, and the net result is highly subjective and dangerous life situation.

Like lemmings, Westerners, Christians and unbelievers, are running full speed to jump off the cliff of reason! They do not know why, or what the outcome will be – they just want to embrace those who potentially wish to kill them or subject them to Islamic slavery. It is irrational. (See article on the Good Samaritan text, for an accurate interpretation).

As the next section shows, non-Western observations are far more honest about what is happening. Russia sees the West as stupid, and rightly so. Putin looks at what the West is doing with amazement, his own response to migrants being superior to our own.

Russian Sarcasm at Western Stupidity

"Russia has mocked Europe's handling of the migrant crisis at 'ineffective'." (Daily Mail, 11 March 2016). Let us remember two major points: the first is that Angela Merkel INVITED migrants into Europe in large numbers (and now finds she cannot control them), and, ISIS told us they would send migrants in their millions, including many terror operatives. To say that Merkel was totally irresponsible to invite these people is not enough. Our criticism goes way beyond such a mild rebuke!

Russia's immigration chief said that the EU's 'experiment' is useless: *"multiculturalism has failed"* (note that multiculturalism is a socialist concept). Well, we at BTM knew that many years ago when the idea was first mooted, but nobody took notice. Instead, we, and others like us, who happen to think with God-given minds, are called xenophobes* and racists*!! We ignore the names, but we cannot ignore what is now happening to our country. (* These are ridiculous accusations – Islam is found in all nations, so it has little to do with race or country).

Russia accurately says *"European leaders had wilfully ignored differences in culture, religious traditions and customs, and were now suffering the consequences"*.

That is well said! To add a twist to this, the EU has asked Putin for help with the crisis! This is because Russia has a far more logical and useful way to deal with migrants. Russia said that *"Europe had failed to anticipate how many arrivals would be drawn by substantial benefits"*. How very true, though many government officials try to downplay this aspect of migration, thus attracting so many people that their economies will collapse.

The truth, though, is painfully obvious, and has been said time and again by migrants – the UK

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

is a 'soft touch' and will hand out benefits to anybody; when the possible benefits income to a migrant can be hundreds of times greater than anything they get at home, they WILL come, even if they die trying; very, very few are actual refugees. But, the UK CANNOT sustain any more migrants, who usually end up unemployed and needing full social support. Why should British taxes pay migrants who move here simply because they live in a poor country? It makes no sense. We end up paying for the bad government of their own countries.

It is up to foreign, and particularly Islamic, countries to better the lot of their own people. It is also up to *them* to give them clean water, shelter and food, plus basic health provision. The LEADERS have plenty of money, a lot of it given by foreign aid from Western governments, and misused (as it is plentifully in Gaza). It is not our responsibility to keep the whole poor world in benefits paid for by taxes, when those taxes are paid to benefit home nationals. The government gives away billions in British taxes to foreign countries, where aid is put into leaders' bank accounts and into buying weapons, or, as in Gaza, into building terror tunnels.

Russia scoffs at the UK for giving maximum benefits to those who just walk into the country without papers or the possibility of contributing. The Russian immigration minister said that ALL migrants to Russia were forced to integrate – not asked. Immigrants were not given aid benefits, but were expected to integrate by having work and being 'Russian'. They were not allowed to lounge around, but have to mix fully with Russian life and ways. Of course, we do not have the jobs available, so migrants without jobs should not be allowed into the UK! The minister also pointed to the foul attacks in Cologne and other places: *"Note the defiant behaviour of refugees and their growing claims and demands."*

He scorned the way each EU country has a different policy concerning migrant registration and for deporting those who are illegal or criminal. Indeed, what we see is the UK accepting those without papers or any form of identification, making their entry very dangerous in the light of ISIS and general Islamic demands. Meanwhile, another country, Finland, is now experiencing big problems, alongside other EU countries. It seems, then, that Russia has a far better grasp of what is happening than those in the EU.

As a ministry it is our view that ALL migrants from ANY country MUST win a number of points to get in. That is, they MUST have a job ready for them, plus housing in place paid for by themselves, plus savings enough to keep them for several years, plus payment for health and education in advance and must speak English. Also, the government should not hand out citizenship for at least five years, and if, before, during, or after that time, the migrant becomes criminal or terroristic, they must be deported immediately. But, the UK, even conservatives, have socialist policies and do not have the courage to do this.

Now add the wicked way in which the EU is rewarding Turkey by allowing them a closer step towards becoming an EU country, and the EU *leaders* are bringing us to disaster. They are giving £4.7 BILLION (from taxes used to pay for EU membership and for EU members' benefit) to Turkey to help stem migrant flow. Firstly, Turkey is not a true ally, but a sneaky Islamic foe.

Within the last hundred years it has been guilty of genocide of Armenians, who were then forced to take to the Syrian desert as their home. And, lately, its shrill call to be more Islamic has been heard around the world. One EU MEP said that the deal put "*the entrance keys to the gates of Europe in the hands of Turkey*".

Thus, Merkel is not just guilty of inviting millions of Muslims into Europe, but she is PAYING Turkey to bring its whole nation in – what we might call even more 'refugees-by-invitation'!! All with tax-payers' money, but without their consent.

The British Money-Tree

And then we come to Poland. From what I can see Poles mainly come here to actual jobs. They work hard. But, amongst them are brutish criminals, so the same deportation rules should apply. A Polish newspaper has published a guide to how to gain UK benefits. It has a 20 page brochure telling Poles how to get UK benefits fast. Their excuse is that they are 'entitled' to them! Only if we accept EU laws and stupidity! The brochure tells Poles that money grows on trees in the UK, and is there to be taken, because the benefits system in the UK "*is the most developed*"...

would call it

'the most irresponsibly stupid'

. This is why we keep getting many thousands a year wanting to come to the UK. Though there is a reciprocal arrangement in Poland if UK people wish to emigrate there – there are few jobs and less money, so it is not as reciprocal as it might seem to be!

Yet, they ONLY want the money – they do not wish to integrate or contribute in any meaningful way. Muslims are worse, because they openly say that their allegiance is to Allah, not to Britain. Genuine Christians owe allegiance to God, but *also* to our country and to law, because that is part of our obedience to God. It means that Christians are no threat to any country, but Muslims are a very real threat in many ways – social, emotional, financial, security, etc.

Everywhere in the EU people are told that Britain will give them plenty of money and other freebies. It is also what Muslims are being told. But, the difference between European people and Muslims is that the Muslims hate us. That is why we do not want them in the country, and

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

why we must stop the coming political clout when they live here. By all means let those qualified come here if they have jobs, so long as they adhere to UK laws and integrate. If they do not – deport them. And so long as they do not take over Parliament by numbers of Muslims.

The whole problem can be described in one word: Islam. Without the horrors contained in the Koran and the way Muslims enact them, Muslims would just be another group of non-Christians. What follows are diverse arguments from many sources, all of which give truth instead of populist opinions. (We would remind readers that Muslims are of a people who cry easily and readily, and they know how to manipulate by tears and 'sob stories'. We know this from about two decades of Islam-watching and reading source materials. They do it in their own countries, and use them more here, because they know Europeans believe anyone who cries). The next section highlights a very important factor in allowing Muslims into a Western country. It is a very serious matter that cannot be ignored – though it is by leaders!

Taqiyya – Islamic Lying

I have read a number of sources on this phenomenon. It is very strange that Islamic lying is considered to be an holy requirement by some, and is denied by others! The very fact that Taqiyya is a broad subject of discussion suggests it is common!

Kaleef K Karim, for example, says that only "*crazy deluded Islamophobes*" will speak of lying (www.discoverthetruth.com). If the subject is so common, where did it come from in the first place? Is there smoke without fire? Karim instead claims that

Paul

said we may lie, in an attempt to divert the gaze from Islam (see other sections on this tactic of diverting attention from Islamic lies onto Christian similarities so-called)!! He says that the Koran 'only' teaches Muslims to lie in the following circumstances:

1.

To make peace between two friends to avoid feud (or bloodshed)

2.

To make your spouse happy

3.

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

In war, to get the upper hand against the enemy.

4.

When one is persecuted, he can say things which may not be true

5.

To make someone escape torture from a tyrant, to avoid handing over an innocent person to an oppressor.

It still stands that there are multi-examples of Muslims lying to promote their cause, whether it is found in the Koran/hadith or not. He also rejects the idea that Allah is the greatest deceiver (see A-589). So, Karim refutes this idea, saying deception is not used in Islam. My point is that even if the Koran did not contain the acceptance of lying (which it does), many Muslims DO lie when they wish to be terroristic. It is obvious from Karim's use of words ('deluded Islamophobes', for example) that his leaning is towards Islam and not towards peace).

"Consider the concept of lying. According to sharia, deception in general—based on the Koran's terminology, also known as "taqiyya"—is not only permitted in certain situations but sometimes "obligatory." For instance, and quite contrary to Christian tradition, not only are Muslims who must choose between either recanting Islam or being put to death permitted to lie by pretending to have apostatized; but some jurists have decreed that, according to Koran 4:29, Muslims are obligated to lie." (10th November 2008, jihadwatch.com)

And

"According to the authoritative Arabic text, Al-Taqiyya fi Al-Islam, "Taqiyya [deception] is of fundamental importance in Islam. Practically every Islamic sect agrees to it and practices it. We can go so far as to say that the practice of taqiyya is mainstream in Islam, and that those few sects not practicing it diverge from the mainstream.... Taqiyya is very prevalent in Islamic politics, especially in the modern era."

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

The writer, Raymond Ibrahim, says that Sunnis living in the West *automatically* lie (about everything) because they see non-Muslims as their 'enemy'. That is, they lie routinely and all the time because they are 'amongst the enemy'.

"The primary Koranic verse sanctioning deception vis-À-vis non-Muslims states: "Let believers not take for friends and allies infidels instead of believers. Whoever does this shall have no relationship left with Allah—unless you but guard yourselves against them, taking precautions" (3:28; other verses relied on by the ulema include 2:173; 2:185; 4:29; 16:106; 22:78; 40:28)."

(Note; The ulema are Islamic scholars and jurists)

"Regarding 3:28," Ibn Kathir (d. 1373, second only to Tabari) writes, "Whoever at any time or place fears their [infidels'] evil, may protect himself through outward show." As proof of this, he quotes Muhammad's companions: Abu Darda said "Let us smile to the face of some people while our hearts curse them"; while al-Hassan said, "Doing taqiyya is acceptable till the Day of Judgment [i.e., in perpetuity]."

So, to accept the word of Muslims trying to enter Europe, at face value, is very foolish indeed. As we keep saying, Islamists will lie their heads off. They will readily cry tears and present themselves as needy and humble. They will tell us any story that gets them into the country. It is obvious – if they see *us* as their 'enemy' then they will still be *our* enemy when they enter Britain!

"Other prominent ulema, such as al-Qurtubi , al-Razi, and al-Arabi have extended taqiyya to cover deeds. In other words, Muslims can behave like infidels—including by bowing down and worshipping idols and crosses, false testimony, even exposing Muslims' "weak spots" to the infidel enemy—anything short of actually killing a fellow Muslim.

Is this why the Muslim American soldier, Hasan Akbar, attacked his fellow soldiers in Iraq in 2003? Had his pretence of loyalty finally come up against a wall when he realized Muslims might die at his hands? He had written in his diary: "I may not have killed any Muslims, but being in the army is the same thing. I may have to make a choice very soon on who to kill."

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

This is why I have not reported the 'conversions' of Muslims in the Middle East, though they are said to be thousands. To simply accept their salvation at face value is an act of real foolishness, given the way taqiyya/lying is made into a virtue, and a means to infiltrate Christian groups and people. Some at the European borders have claimed to have become Christians 'on the spot'. Yes, it is possible, and yes, God can do as He wishes... but in the light of taqiyya we must be vigilant and VERY cautious, not allowing people into the country only on the basis of their self-confessed conversion. Remember point 3 given to us by Karim as Koranic teaching... it is okay to lie to an enemy so as to gain the upper hand!

"As for war, during the Battle of the Trench, which pitted Muhammad and his followers against several non-Muslim tribes (collectively known as "the Confederates"), one Naim bin Masud went to the Muslim camp and converted to Islam. When Muhammad discovered that the Confederates were unaware of Masud's conversion, he counseled him to return and try somehow to get the Confederates to abandon the siege—"For," Muhammad assured him, "war is deceit." Masud returned to the Confederates without their knowledge that he had "switched sides," and began giving his former kin and allies bad advice. He also went to great lengths to instigate quarrels between the various tribes until, thoroughly distrusting each other, they disbanded, lifting the siege from the Muslims."

Are you foolish enough to think this kind of medieval spy approach is not used today? Of course it is! Mohammed did it, so it gives a 'green light' to all modern Islamists to lie, cheat, commit violence, steal, rape, etc., all of which are the daily lot of European countries who have thus far taken in so-called 'refugees'. Bear in mind that the West has not been Islam's enemy – but Islam is *always* the West's enemy, and always wants to wage war against us, even by breeding so many children as to overtake us and change government to sharia.

"More demonstrative of the legitimacy of deception vis-À-vis infidels is the following anecdote. A poet, Kab bin al-Ashruf, had offended Muhammad by making derogatory verse concerning Muslim women. Muhammad exclaimed in front of his followers: "Who will kill this man who has hurt Allah and his prophet?" A young Muslim named Muhammad bin Maslama volunteered, but with the caveat that, in order to get close enough to Kab to assassinate him, he be allowed to lie to the poet. Muhammad agreed. Maslama traveled to Kab, began denigrating Islam and Muhammad, carrying on this way till his disaffection became convincing enough that Kab took him into his confidences. Soon thereafter, Maslama appeared with another Muslim and, while Kab's guard was down, they assaulted and killed him. One version reports that they ran to Muhammad with Kab's head, to which the latter cried "Allahu Akbar!" (God is great!)"

Does any of this 'ring a bell' in your heads? The Koran contains both peaceful and war-like

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

texts, and scholars did not know how to codify these obviously contradictory verses. However, when something is fake in its foundation, a way is always found...

"But why the contradiction in the first place? The standard answer has been that, since in the early years of Islam, Muhammad and his community were far outnumbered by the infidels, a message of peace and co-existence was in order. However, after he migrated to Medina and grew in military strength and numbers, the violent and intolerant verses were revealed, urging Muslims to go on the offensive. According to this standard view, one can only conclude that the peaceful Meccan verses were ultimately a ruse to buy Islam time till it became sufficiently strong enough to implement the "true" verses. Or, as traditionally understood and implemented, when Muslims are weak, they should preach and behave according to the Meccan verses; when strong, they should go on the offensive, according to the Medinan verses."

Modern Islamists in the UK have admitted to all this, and so tell us that once they gain ascendancy by sheer numbers, they will be in power with sharia. And then those who oppose them will be in real trouble. This is because Islam is ALWAYS at war with non-Islam:

"The fact that Islam legitimizes deceit during war cannot be all that surprising; after all, Sun Tzu, Machiavelli, and Hobbes all justified deceit in war. The problem, however, is that, according to all four recognized schools of Sunni jurisprudence, war against the infidel goes on in perpetuity—until "all chaos ceases, and all religion belongs to Allah" (Koran 8:38).

Do you not understand that Islam has been at war with the British since the first Muslims entered the UK? How do you know (as Trump asks) who is peaceful and who is not? Do you just trust all is well and wave your pre-WW2 bit of paper promising peace as proof – only to be at war a few months later?

"The duty of the jihad exists as long as the universal domination of Islam has not been attained. Peace with non-Muslim nations is, therefore, a provisional state of affairs only; the chance of circumstances alone can justify it temporarily. Furthermore there can be no question of genuine peace treaties with these nations; only truces, whose duration ought not, in principle, to exceed ten years, are authorised. But even such truces are precarious, inasmuch as they can, before they expire, be repudiated unilaterally should it appear more profitable for Islam to resume the conflict." (Encyclopaedia of Islam)

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

If you think giving free food and benefits to Muslims will stop their hate, you are deceived! It is their duty under Islam to conspire, attack, hate and not integrate!

"In the Muslim community, holy war [jihad] is a religious duty, because of the universalism of the Muslim mission and the obligation to convert everybody to Islam either by persuasion or by force. The other religious groups did not have a universal mission, and the holy war was not a religious duty for them, save only for purposes of defense... But Islam is under obligation to gain power over other nations."

(Ibn Khaldun, d 1406, Muslim historian)

In other words, we can be as nice as we can be towards Muslims, but their teachings tell them they are at perpetual war against infidels, who MUST obey Allah. Where Muslims are fewer, they will appear to be peaceful, but when they gain power through their numbers, the pretence can be dropped. None of this is my personal opinion – it is based only on Islamic facts and the stated aims of Muslims. This is why their call of 'Islamophobia' is complete nonsense, if not comic-book logic. After all...

"... the sole function of the "peace-treaty" (hudna) is to buy weakened Muslims time to regroup before going on the offensive once more. Incidentally, Muhammad is quoted in the Hadith saying, "If I take an oath and later find something else better, I do what is better and break my oath." (Jihad Watch)

Trust Muslims at your peril... at OUR peril. I am not being inflammatory, I am quoting Muslims! The same allusion was made by arch-terrorist Yasser Arafat, when he said that a peace treaty between the PLO and Israel was only a ruse: *"I see this agreement as being no more than the agreement signed between our Prophet Muhammad and the Quraish in Mecca"* That is, the ruse used by Mohammed in the previous quote, where the PLO could simply stop the agreement when Muslims gained the upper hand.

It is also why Hamas will not stop their war against Israel, and why it is wrong of the West to demand that Israel makes concessions, and why the Western nations' demand to member states to take in Muslims is of greatest foolishness. In the Israeli situation Hamas only gives a 'truce' when it needs time to rebuild its stash of offensive weapons, cash, and its terror-tunnels!

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

This is why it breaks any truce time and time again... it is simply following Koranic commands. When Muslims said they would offer peace to the USA, Osama bin Laden wrote a scathing letter to them:

"As to the relationship between Muslims and infidels, this is summarized by the Most High's Word: 'We renounce you. Enmity and hate shall forever reign between us — till you believe in Allah alone' [Koran 60:4]. So there is an enmity, evidenced by fierce hostility from the heart. And this fierce hostility — that is, battle — ceases only if the infidel submits to the authority of Islam, or if his blood is forbidden from being shed [i.e., a dhimmi], or if Muslims are at that point in time weak and incapable [i.e., taqiyya]. But if the hate at any time extinguishes from the heart, this is great apostasy! ... Such, then, is the basis and foundation of the relationship between the infidel and the Muslim. Battle, animosity, and hatred — directed from the Muslim to the infidel — is the foundation of our religion. And we consider this a justice and kindness to them" (from The Al Qaeda Reader).

Can you not see the dire position the EU is putting us in by inviting millions of Muslims into the West, without checks? And by inviting them in anyway, seeing as their religion (rather, their political device) vows constant death and war until we capitulate to Allah? Throughout the world, even in Muslim countries, radicals (true Muslims) wage war against non-Muslim governments and people, and even against Muslim peoples who are not fierce enough for the radicals.

Note that bin-Laden did not speak of war against the USA when his people were 'weak'. Instead, he advocated using countless 'complaints'... such as against Israel, women, and even environmental issues! ALL the 'complaints' made by Islamists are just ruses, made until they can attack in force or subdue the West. Hence they put responsibility for THEIR evils and violence on to the USA, oil... you name it and they use it as an excuse to kill. In truth, the one who is responsible for terror attacks is he with finger on trigger and on bomb buttons!

The idea that we and the West are 'Islamophobes' is a part of the propaganda freely used by Islam. That is, it is taqiyya. So, is the 'ordinary' Muslim a liar by choice, by ignorance of his own religion... or by taqiyya? No-one can tell, and that is why the West MUST be on heightened alert and caution. It is also why we must take care NOT to succumb to the charges of 'Islamophobia' so stupidly announced by governments after being heckled by Islamism who are using the charge as a smoke-screen to hide their real intentions.

In the USA CAIR is a master at this deception. Saudi Arabia demands that the West must have

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

'dialogue' with Islamists... but never offers to hold any conferences for this on their own soil! They still teach children in their schools that Christians and Jews are 'infidels' worthy only of death... 'enemies' and 'swine'! They lie, then, about wanting 'peace'. The ONLY peace available is that available to quiet, subdued and scared Muslims who dare not contradict Islamic teachings for fear of being killed! Peace in Islam means to obey without question. It is not true peace, but an allowance to live so long as people are complicit and obedient.

Yes, the majority of Muslims in the West are currently peaceful. But, if the Koran is pressed home by Islamists, they would be obliged to obey; remember that over half of those 'moderates' asked support sharia or the death of infidels. This is why inviting Muslim 'refugees' into the West will lead to serious, if not deadly, confrontation and possible destruction of western civilization itself.

Twelve Deceptions of Islam

What follows is from crombouke.blogspot.co.uk, entitled 'Twelve Tactics of Taqiyya – Lying and Deception for the Benefit of Islam', 22nd January, 2010. It is a long but simple entry, but most necessary given the naïve ignorance of Islam in the West, and by most Christians.

[1] Taqiyya about taqiyya.

Of course taqiyya won't work once the infidels realise they're being duped, so Muslims deny that taqiyya exists, or that it is used to deceive infidels. 'There is no such thing as Taqiyya' or 'Taqiyya is something I never heard of and I had to go and look it up' or "Taqiyya is only practised by Sunnis/Shi'ites, we Shi'ites/Sunnis always tell the truth". They even lie about lies!

[2] Playing the race card and guilt by association.

An accusation of racism is such a trump card that Jihadists will play it whenever they can. Despite Islam not being a race, any criticism of Islam immediately gets the knee-jerk retaliation of 'racism'.

For example; 'You are expressing the same views about Islam as racists, therefore you are a racist.' This is similar logic to 'Communists believe two and two make four. You believe two and two make four. Therefore you are a Communist'.

[3] Godwin's Law

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

-A special (and inevitable) version of guilt by association with racism used in online discussions, whereby the first person to invoke Hitler or the Nazis wins the argument. The 'logic' is something like:

CRITICISM OF ISLAM = RACISM = NAZISM

Therefore, if you criticise Islam you are a Nazi. (Ed. Yet, Islam is nothing but a version of fascism).

[4] Circular reasoning

'The Koran says it is the word of God. So whatever it says must be true. Therefore it is true that the Koran is the word of God because it says so'

[5] The infidels' quotes from the Koran are always taken out of context.

For example 'Kill the unbelievers wherever you find them' is taken out of context, and really means 'Kill the unbelievers wherever you find them setting fire to your house' - or something similar.

[6] Infidels can't understand the original Arabic of the Koran.

So 'Kill the unbelievers wherever you find them' is actually a Medieval Arabic expression meaning 'Help old ladies across busy streets and remember to feed the birds in winter'.

[7] Tu Quoque (you also).

'We blow people up/ behead them, but you do the same,' - Normally used in attempts to refute arguments that Islam is intrinsically violent. Often refers back to the Crusades, Inquisition, etc. Also 'There are equally nasty bits in the Bible'. Yes, there are violent episodes in the Bible, but the Bible is descriptive of battles and massacres long ago, whereas the Koran is prescriptive of battles and massacres yet to come.

(Ed. Where God commanded death of enemies it was because the enemies were totally corrupt, pagan and idolaters, who did wicked things. Islamists kill for their own pleasure and

power).

[8] 'Abrahamic/monotheistic faith' false kin argument.

This scam usually take the form of 'Islam is just a further development of Christianity, a brother Abrahamic/ monotheist faith.'

Of course it isn't! Islam is a travesty and perversion of Christianity in many respects, and Jesus would probably have advised the paedophile Mohammed to tie a millstone round his neck and jump into the sea. (Mark 9:42). In Islam stoning of women is still a major spectator sport, whereas Jesus forbade it (John 8:7). Human sacrifice is an abomination in Judaism and Christianity, but is encouraged in Islam.

Another Satanic aspect of Islam is that it deliberately destroys the conscience of its followers.

[9] Quoting abrogated verses from the Koran in order to appear moderate.

A favorite one is 'Let there be no compulsion in religion'. This verse and many like it are actually null and void and disregarded by all Muslims (though not by gullible infidels). They are peaceful Meccan verses which are completely cancelled by later and much more violent Medinan verses.

[10] 'You owe us a debt of gratitude because Islam is the basis of Western civilisation'.

This sort of statement is usually backed up by revisionist arguments that Muslims invented everything and were responsible for the Renaissance (Sheikh Speare was a Muslim playwright, etc).

In some ways this is a rather pathetic quest for significance. Muslim culture has been moribund for the past 600 years, whereas the West has forged ahead. Muslims now want a stake in the success story by claiming they were somehow responsible for the West's development.

[11] 'A third of the world's population believe in Islam, so it deserves respect.'

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

But not so long ago a third of the world's population believed the earth was flat. Numbers don't mean anything, especially when the Islamic population is the most backward and illiterate on earth. Muslims are very keen on 'respect', but someone should tell them that respect needs to be earned.

(Ed. God does not wish us to respect a wicked false religion!)

[12] 'We are victims of Islamophobia'.

Muslims are always playing the victim, if not of racism then of the even more heinous thought-crime of ISLAMOPHOBIA. Of course there is no such thing as Islamophobia, since a phobia is an irrational fear, whereas fear of Islam as a clear and present danger is a totally rational reaction from any infidel.

...and, let's make it a baker's dozen...

[13] Sufis are non-violent

This is an example of the Good Cop/Bad Cop routine. Sufis portray themselves as being a kind of Buddhist version of Islam: peaceful, mystical and generally fluffy and New Age. Well-meaning Westerners are attracted by Sufis in the hope that they have finally found examples of the elusive Moderate Muslims.

Unfortunately, this is clutching at straws. Sufis are just as violent and supremacist as the rest of them. See links under Sufis at Everything you need to know about Islam.

A particularly good example of the Sufi Good Cop/Bad Cop con-trick was the Ground Zero Victory Mosque, where the 'peaceful' Sufis deceived the more gullible New Yorkers until they were exposed as normal Muslims.

I would not normally include the kind of statements shown above in a detailed argument, but do so in this case because they highlight some of the deceptive arguments used by Islamists to deflect criticism. They are being used today by simplistic governments to insist that the West

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

accepts millions of Islamic migrants.

Miscellaneous Quotes:

See <https://themuslimissue.wordpress.com/2016/03/14/italy-merkel-muslims-arrested-after-plotting-attack-against-the-unfaithful/>

Muhammad was once a refugee taken in by the Jewish City of Medina. Within 5 years, he had driven out, executed, or enslaved every Jew there.

Allah's Messenger (ﷺ) said, *"I have been made victorious with terror."*

-- Sahih al-Bukhari 2977, (on Jihaad), Book 56, Hadith 186

"I came to the absolute conviction that it is impossible... impossible... for any human being to read the biography of Mohammed and believe in it, and then emerge a psychologically and mentally healthy person."

(Syrian Psychiatrist, Dr. Wafa Sultan).

"Muslims have one of the highest mental health statistics in the world". (The Muslim Issue). A real comfort to know that many Muslims are mentally ill and running around Europe!

<https://themuslimissue.wordpress.com/2016/03/14/syria-the-ideal-koranic-muslim-society-where-they-execute-decapitate-the-body-stick-the-head-on-a-spike-and-put-it-on-display/>

(This is Islamic reality. And Westerners want Islam in Europe!)

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

<https://themuslimissue.wordpress.com/2016/03/13/danish-sharia-council-quickly-disbands-when-takeover-ideology-was-revealed-on-tv/>

Sharia is promised in the UK.

And go to <https://themuslimissue.wordpress.com>

More on *Taqiyya*: <http://sheikyermami.com/taqiyya-holy-deception-quran-532/>

Tactics Used by Muslims

Muslims do not usually argue directly, but employ a number of tactics to divert attention from difficult issues. This kind of reaction is always used by those whose arguments are ridiculous or unworthy, unable to be defended by normal; intellectual and spiritual means. The following list of tactics is from WikIslam.net

2.1 Exploiting Positive Assumptions

2.2 Dual Meaning

2.3 Arabic Terms

2.4 Simple Diversion

2.5 Already Answered

2.6 Islam Doesn't Exist

2.7 Islam Won't Exist

2.8 Disowning Islam

2.9 Hypersensitivity

2.10 Semantics

2.11 Dummy Spit

2.12 Answering Questions with Questions

2.13 Silence

2.14 Revising History

2.15 Misrepresenting Statistics

2.16 Editing News Articles

"Muslim spokespersons employ a peculiar style of deceptive propaganda that so far has caught the media off-guard. It takes advantage of a common assumption among those who have only been exposed to other religions – the assumption that the statements of religious leaders can be taken at face value because religious leaders want people to understand their religion. It

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

takes advantage of non-Muslim ignorance of Islam while at the same time seeking to maintain that ignorance. It takes advantage of people's tendency to see Islam as a religion in the traditional sense, rather than as a combination of a militant political ideology and a religion. Thus a Muslim religious leader should not be seen in the same way as most religious leaders. He should be viewed as a combination of politician and religious leader. When addressing a non-Muslim audience, he should not be viewed as a typical missionary, but as an astute politician addressing a hostile audience who won't like all of his policies - he will tell you what you want to hear. Viewing them as a politician can be a difficult task as they often combine this with a hypersensitivity to criticism or direct questioning that a politician could not get away with. 'How dare you accuse me of lying' is a powerful challenge coming from a cleric with his 'religious leader' hat on, but would be ridiculed if it came from a politician." (Introduction)

Ever watched a TV programme where Islamic clerics are challenged over their statements? Some of them literally go berserk and even try to assault interviewers! Most, though, use a number of tactics (listed above) to allow them *not* to answer challenges.

"Muslims use a number of strategies to either conceal the more barbaric aspects of their religion or to deceive people about Islamic doctrine. The initial deception is semantic. Literally, they say one thing and mean another, the opposite of calling a spade a spade. This typically involves using a dual meaning that is generally only understood by Muslims, or using Arabic terms. The initial deception is followed up with a number of rhetorical techniques that create a barrier to effective communication. These are essentially a form of diversion. While some of these tricks are familiar to a western audience, some are largely unfamiliar and play on Islamic concepts with which the west is unfamiliar." (Tactics Used by Muslims)

Islamists and Muslims in general tend to say that the West has been 'at war' with Islam since the 1920s. 'Therefore', goes the twisted logic, Islamists can lie to the West and break the law, etc., at will, as a 'defence'. In this way Islam exploits common assumptions about the relationship of Islam to the West. The logic is without sense or intellectual verity, but this is what Islam does, because of its internal problems and contradictions.

Dual Meaning

(Homosexuals use this tactic in many situations. They are the same because both groups are Marxist-fascist).

"The first tactic used is to attach a dual meaning to common English words such as war, peace,

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

slave, innocent, rape, domestic violence etc. This is known as "Doublespeak". This tactic is so successful because it is so different from the typical 'western propaganda' approach of inventing new jargon."

"For example, where a deceptive western leader may talk about 'collateral damage' to make civilian deaths in war sound more benign, a deceptive Muslim leader may say 'no one was murdered', on the grounds that the killing was legal. In this case, the deception is obvious because most English speaking people understand the subtle difference between murder and kill. However, by carefully controlling how Islamic doctrine is translated into English, Muslim scholars have created a situation where many common words have an entirely different meaning when used in the context of Muslims or Islamic law. This is combined with an insistence that to 'truly understand' the Qur'an one must study it in Arabic, thus putting the context or meaning of the words used beyond the reach of most non-Muslims. Thus, Muslims will understand what their leaders are saying, even if most westerners do not. This can be quite blatant manipulation, such as when some UK clerics stated in public that it is wrong to kill innocent people, then said to their followers in private that only Muslims can be considered innocent."

I have come across this duality in my own researches, where what Muslims mean when speaking to each other is very different to how Westerners perceive what is being said. Most Westerners simply accept at face value what a Muslim is saying, not realising that what they hear is propaganda and dual-purpose, and not the full truth. On the last section on 'being innocent', it means that ALL Westerners are regarded as NOT innocent at all, but are reasonable objects of war. That is how two Islamic killers decapitated an off-duty soldier in broad daylight in London. To them, though a bandsman not in a war zone, he was their 'enemy'.

"Being so simple, this tactic is most often employed in press releases. As an example, the artist formerly known as Cat Stevens appeared to support the fatwa calling for the death of Salman Rushdie during question time after giving a lecture at a western university. The next day he released a statement to the press reassuring the public that he does not support vigilantism. Of course, he was not available to give any further details. Most assumed he did not want Rushdie dead. However, closer inspection appears to show that Cat Stevens did not actually oppose the death penalty for Salman Rushdie. He did think it would be wrong to shoot Salman in the back of the head in some dark alley. Rather, Salman should have been hauled before the relevant cleric, who had already found him guilty, so that he could be stoned to death in an orderly, 'civilised' manner."

For these reasons no Westerner should listen to Muslim tales of woe, or to their pleas for help,

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

without realising that what the Westerners are being told is probably not what their Western ears are hearing. They listen as they would to a Western person. In reality the Muslim is probably telling him what he wants to hear, so that the Muslim can get what he wants. That is, he is lying Islam-style, taqiyya.

In the same way, Muslims say they "do not rape", though they do so frequently in their own country as well as in Europe! This is because Islam allows men to rape women, married or not, because rape is not a crime in Islamic law. Therefore, if the Westerners see rape, it is not counted to be rape in Islam... hence they "do not rape". Doublespeak!

The same warped meaning is given when Islam proclaims itself to be a religion of 'peace'. But, the MEANING of 'peace' is not what Westerners think it means... and so we have the pathetic repetition by Western governments, that "Islam is a religion of peace". Even though, everywhere in the world, Islamists are slaughtering everyone they do not like! For Muslims, 'peace' is a situation where all the world is subject to Allah and obedient to all of Mohammed's contradictory teachings. Thus, peace is silent acceptance of all and any conditions, at pain of death for non-compliance. THIS is what Western governments are agreeing to when they smilingly say 'Islam is a religion of peace'! It is very real ignorance, based on what Islamists tell them, and not on genuine research. As I have said, homosexuals use similar dualism of words.

When those who know what Islam is really saying warn of the dualism, governments and Islamists then call them 'racists' even though Islam is not a race. Continually, Islamists say various countries have values in common with Islam – and yet, amongst themselves, they call for the end of Western decadence (the things they publicly agree with) and loathe any call for Muslims to integrate with the host country's Judeo-Christian foundational beliefs and government.

They claim to obey host country laws, while, at the same time, telling Muslims that only sharia is true law. The duplicity goes on and on. Another fallacy is that Muslims say they want to live alongside non-Muslims. Amongst themselves they preach separation from anyone who is not a Muslim and who will not subject themselves to Allah's laws. I have noticed this decline in my home city over the past ten years; where once Muslims would return a greeting, they now shun me and do not return a normal greeting in the street. For centuries many rural Middle Eastern Muslims lived peaceably alongside Jews and Christians. This was only because they could not read the Koran. Once they began to read it, their peace evaporated and they began to hate and attack people they had lived alongside for all that time.

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

Many are told to become friendly with Christians – and yet, amongst themselves, they agree that Christianity is 'vile', to be got rid of. They claim Islam gives equality between the sexes, even though the Koran says otherwise: women are only worth half a man, and they must obey men instantly and without question, even when they are brutalised or raped. In every case, the Koran is presented in confused manner, with claims that words mean something different to what we read!

This, in any sensible and intellectual setting, is ridiculous, and is the reason why I say Islamic 'scholars' are not scholars at all, but are just men who manipulate words to suit their own desires. That is, they are masters of propaganda and lies. This is what Roman Catholicism was like for centuries, until the Bible was translated for all men and they could see for themselves that Romanism was a deception. Koranic 'scholars' are afraid to let even Muslims understand the Koran in plain language.

Use of Arabic Terms

In the churches it is useful to know and understand Greek and Hebrew, but not having mastery of these languages in no way detracts from a standard understanding of scripture. Thus, there can be no elitism and secret (gnostic) knowledge... it is heretics who want only a few to 'understand' scripture, so that only a few can dictate to the many how to 'read' the Bible. This is exactly what Muslim clerics do.

In the West, what Muslim teachers say is not what Westerners think they mean. And Islamists know this trips them up! Because most observers do not understand Arabic, Islamists can dupe Westerners, and will be critical of straightforward meanings for Koranic verses, because they "do not understand Arabic"! Even so, murder is murder, rape is rape, violence is violence. These are easily understood in any language.

When coming face-to-face with tough questions about Islam, Muslim teachers will bluster and issue vague statements that are meaningless, or have dual meanings. They do it because it is acceptable to them to lie and deceive to their 'enemy'. Sadly, most Muslims are ignorant of the true nature of the Koran and what Islamic leaders are aiming for. Even so, if they perpetuate the same evils they are accountable before the one true God.

They also do it because Islam is not an ordinary false religion, but a political-military system... and we all know how obtuse politicians can be. So, when discussing the migrant situation with Muslims you will NOT get a straight answer, or one that is honest to Western ears. And officials

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

at borders will NOT receive honest answers to ordinary questions – remember that the officials are 'enemies' and it is permissible for Muslims to lie to get what they want. Thus, 'refugees' see themselves as soldiers of Allah on a deadly mission to convert or subdue the West.

Islamists always blame the West for its ills and its evils. Yet, if this were true, they would NOT be killing ordinary citizens, who have no part in what their leaders do, and cannot change their activities. Instead, they would try to kill *leaders* who make decisions that supposedly affect them. But, they do not, Instead they just blast everyone they can, enjoying their murdering with great glee. This fact alone proves that the claims of Islam – that the 'West' is responsible for its murderous activities – is just a lot of hot-air, an excuse for their own pathological psychopathy. It also covers the ridiculous nature of their Koran. The West is not to blame for the sins of another person, who has a choice to kill or to argue their cause in peaceful ways.

Simple Diversions

I have already mentioned the tactic used by Karim (above), where he diverts attention from Islamic atrocities by claiming that others (usually Christians or Jews) have done similar things. Then, they talk about these 'similar' things rather than be drawn to speak about Islamic doctrines – an avoidance tactic. The idea is to distract the speaker with often remote 'similarities' (which are not similar at all). This is why Muslims call attention to the 'sins' of the USA, etc.

They are all smokescreens and have nothing to do with reality – that Islam is a murderous cult because the Koran calls for murder of non-Muslims and the subduing of nations. It is about time that the West understood this underlying fact... jihadist Islam has nothing to do with the West – it is a Koran-led terrorism against ALL people who reject Allah. This has been their aim since Mohammed pretended to be a 'righteous' criminal.

It is why the West has been duped big-time to think that 'Palestine' is being 'occupied' by Israel and that the very precise killing of terrorists who bomb Israel is 'the same as' 'Palestinians' murdering Israelis at will. Muslims will point to the Roman Catholic wars against Muslims, rather than talk about the wholesale murders and genocides by Muslim armies for centuries beforehand. They equate accidental deaths of people with the mass killings by Islamic terrorists. Until the West realises this tactic is being used, no blame for Islamic terror will be placed on those who do it – Islamic terrorists! *Islam* is responsible for Islamic atrocities, not the West.

This is important. In Europe, officials literally excuse Muslims who commit heinous crimes

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

because they are 'refugees who have suffered much'. This is a blatant excuse that should not be allowed space at all! Muslim migrants tell officials all kinds of lies and 'reasons' for their behaviour – and it is the host country that must change, not the Muslims.

Muslims, knowing Western ignorance and fear of being called 'racists', work on this fallacy, and it is sufficient for the West to run and hide and allow the 'reasons' to exist. In reality, those who commit crimes and show great hate, are merely showing us what they were like in their own countries. But, the Islamic tactic of diversions is used to great effect and will continue to be used, until Europe is subdued as is the USA – who now tell everyone that whites are racist and are guilty for everything bad.

Already Answered

What better way is there to silence critics than to claim that they are going over 'old ground' and Muslims refuse to repeat themselves. The Westerner should have listened carefully in the first place! In this way actual answers are not given, and the critic feels stupid for asking any questions. That tactic, and the others, will never work on me! I can be very dogged in sticking to wanting the right answer.

Other Silly Tactics...

'Islam only exists because of the way Westerners have acted'. What? Yes, they actually say that, and the servile West believes it! The entire blame for Islamic barbarity rests ONLY with the West – it is all its fault. ***But, wait a moment... Islam began 1400 years ago, before the 'West' came into being.***

At the start it subjugated women (because Mohammed had a thuggish attitude towards them), had raiding parties to steal goods and money, and made war with fellow Arabs. What we see today is just a continuation of what Mohammed did all those centuries ago. Nothing has changed. So, to blame the West for barbarism and murderous genocide is childish and historically stupid. If YOU repeat the same Islamic lies about the West – think again and stop being an Islamic spokesperson!

Islamists also resort to a pretend hypersensitivity, poor things. They complain that we do not trust them. And they are right. I do not trust them, because they give no reason to trust. They complain that we think they are liars. Yes, we do. Because they lie! Why else! And they hate answering direct questions, which they complain are 'loaded'. It is just a way of avoiding direct answers.

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

Islamists also use semantics, or at least their silly version of semantics. For example, they will say we have used a 'wrong word', so refuse to answer. My objection is that I only criticise what I can see and hear. If I get it wrong, it is the fault of the Islamist, not me. They should make things clearer and not cloud them with their ridiculous semantic nonsense. I could not care less about semantics if I see ISIS cutting off a head, or 'ordinary' Muslims stealing or raping. Genocide is genocide; murder is murder; hatred is hatred, rape is rape. Semantics are irrelevant when these things are in plain view. It is also irrelevant that these things are commonplace and accepted in Islamic countries – they are NOT in an Islamic country in the West, so they must obey our laws or be kicked out, refugees or not.

I could give many more examples of Islamic counterfeit argumentation, but the above will do for now. It is my view that Islamic scholars are not scholars at all, but are intellectually impaired, because they will not answer direct questions or criticisms, nor provide reasonable answers to questions, nor admit to what is obvious, such as the awful life of Mohammed, nor admit to the many *real* contradictions in the Koran.

Lately (see article on who Jerusalem belongs to, A-591) these 'scholars' ignore history and claim Jerusalem has always belonged to Islam! How on earth they can say this is beyond comprehension, but they say it anyway, though if a true intellectual said it, they would be laughed at. This is probably why there are separate Islamic 'universities', places without real intellectual rigour and just propaganda machines for repeating lies and errors.

Overall, then, Islamists attempt at every turn to deceive non-Muslims. But, they also deceive themselves. It is inconceivable that they cannot see the major flaws in Islam, yet they continue to deny they exist. Islam gives those without any form of superiority a weak kind of status, a sense of power; Muslims feel powerful, but only through violence, guns and bombs. They cannot give sound arguments for their existence as Mohammedans, so they just cut off heads, shoot, stab, and blow up. These are disgusting ways to 'prove' your argument. They are also very childish in concept, but with deadly effect.

Temporary Recanting Part of Islam

Recently, I read of 'thousands' of Muslims accepting Christ in Syria. Please be VERY careful about this! Under the rules of taqiyya a Muslim can lie, deny their faith, recant of Islam and even commit blasphemy against Allah, if it means they can escape some kind of attack or judgment, or if their 'conversion' brings them amongst the very people they have vowed to kill. Muslims are accomplished at this. So, how can delighted Christians KNOW if any 'conversion' is true or false? ISIS have told fighters to pretend to be Christians, so as to infiltrate churches, find Christians and deceive the West. The aim is to kill Christians and spread their deadly

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

wickedness.

In 2004, Lebanese Druze scholar Sami Makarem published the monograph *Al Taqiyya Fi Al Islam* ("Dissimulation in Islam": quoted in Wikipedia under title 'Taqiyya'), arguing that the concept is "mainstream" and ubiquitous in modern Islamic politics,

"Taqiyya is an Islamic juridical term whose shifting meaning relates to when a Muslim is allowed, under Sharia law, to lie. A concept whose meaning has varied significantly among Islamic sects, scholars, countries, and political regimes, it nevertheless is one of the key terms used by recent anti-Muslim polemicists." (Mariuma, Yarden. "Taqiyya as Polemic, Law and Knowledge: Following an Islamic Legal Term through the Worlds of Islamic Scholars, Ethnographers, Polemicists and Military Men." *The Muslim World* 104.1-2 (2014): 89-108.)

Please note that this is CURRENT practice amongst Muslims; the paper was written in 2014. It is a "key term" (and practice) today! Dare you believe Muslims who trample all over Europe and have the nerve to make demands as so-called 'refugees'... their lies are a sure sign that all is not as it seems. And (Raymond Ibrahim, "Taqiyya about Taqiyya", 12 April 2014) says:

"Taqiyya is of fundamental importance in Islam. Practically every Islamic sect agrees to it and practices it. We can go so far as to say that the practice of taqiyya is mainstream in Islam, and that those few sects not practicing it diverge from the mainstream...Taqiyya is very prevalent in Islamic politics, especially in the modern era." (p. 7, trans. Raymond Ibrahim).

AND

*"Lying to non-Muslims, though, is another matter. In fact, Islamic law permits Muslims to lie anytime they perceive that their own well-being, or that of Islam, is threatened. Taking it a step further, **if there is an objective to be achieved that is desirable in Islam, then it is permissible for Muslims to lie in order to achieve that objective.** The book of Islamic law, *Reliance of the Traveller* (or the 'Umdat al-Salik), states on pg. 746 (emphasis above is my own):*

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

"Speaking is a means to achieve objectives. If a praiseworthy aim is attainable through both telling the truth and lying, it is unlawful to accomplish through lying because there is no need for it. When it is possible to achieve such an aim by lying but not by telling the truth, it is permissible to lie if attaining the goal is permissible..."

In the context of the current mass migration of Muslims into Western territories, the above facts MUST be considered. How many 'families' really are families? How many of them are families-of-convenience only to gain access to Britain or Europe? How many have REALLY gone through trauma in their own countries? How many are actually terroristic dupes? How many come from where they say they have come from? How many are terrorists? How many are just economic migrants? Look again at the above fact found today in Islamic law: ***"if there is an objective to be achieved that is desirable in Islam, then it is permissible for Muslims to lie in order to achieve that objective."***

So, lying is endemic amongst Muslims. It is used against their 'enemies' – and the entire West is their 'enemy'. Their lying is only until they can show their true colours and institute sharia. And terrorists (said to be many thousands to date) will certainly not tell the truth. How, then, can ANYONE believe ANY Muslim? How, then, can any Westerner open themselves up to deception by Muslims 'spinning a yarn', or giving a convincing 'sob story'? Islamists are very good at lying, and using a myriad of deceptions to persuade Westerners with big hearts! Then, in a while, comes the hammer and the sword. This is not 'insensitivity' – it is a comment on the facts.

"Sharia-compliant Muslims routinely use deceptive "wolf in sheep's clothing" type tactics to polish Islam's image while at the same time avoiding, obscuring, or omitting any of the negative aspects of Islamic doctrine, history, law, and scriptures. This is often done with prospective converts as well as with audiences Muslims hope will be gullible, like academics, government officials, members of the media, and the public at large." (Clarionproject.org)

AND

"Muslims often will cite verses from the (chronologically) earlier portions of the Qur'an (which generally were moderate, peaceful, and tolerant), while neglecting to mention that this was the period in Muhammad's life when he and his tiny band of followers lived in Mecca, where they were ridiculed, powerless, and mostly unaccepted. Yet, even as they cite such passages, they are fully aware that most of these early verses were abrogated (cancelled and replaced) by later

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

passages of the Qur'an that Muhammad produced after the hijra (migration) to Medina. The replacement verses, from a time when Muslims grew powerful, reflect harsh intolerance for non-Muslims and command violence to subjugate infidels (unbelievers) to Islamic law (sharia)." (as above)

AND

"Ibn Kathir was an Islamic scholar who wrote one of the most authoritative commentaries (or Tafsirs) on the Qur'an. This is what he said about this particular Qur'anic verse regarding Muslims pretending to befriend infidels:

'Unless you indeed fear a danger from them' meaning, except those believers who in some areas or times fear for their safety from the disbelievers. In this case, such believers are allowed to show friendship to the disbelievers outwardly, but never inwardly....'We smile in the face of some people although our hearts curse them'." (Tafsir Ibn Kathir, vol. 2, 141)

I have heard Christians say they have 'friends who are Muslims'. It is highly probable that this 'friendship' is only one-way. The Christian might really be friendly towards the Muslim... but there is no way of knowing if that 'friendship' so sincerely expressed in many ways, is actually a smiling face covering an inward hatred. Those Christians who truly think that their Muslim 'friends' are REALLY friends should therefore be extremely cautious, given the presence and reality of taqiyya.

*"It is impossible to understand Islam and Muslims by listening to their protestations against terror and their proclamations of patriotism for America. Usually, it is wise and fair to give people the benefit of the doubt but when it comes to national safety and the future of America, we had better look twice, even thrice at Muslim patriotism. Why? Because Islam permits lying! It is called "Al-taqiyya." One Muslim said that Al-taqiyya means dissimulation then he expanded it to diplomacy but he should have gone further to deception. Now some Muslims who do not follow the Koran are as faithful Americans as any of us, but the problem is, **we cannot know.**" (Dr Don Boys, Muslim fact.com)*

AND

“Muslims lie not because they are liars by nature but by choice. Systematic lying as a religious policy is deadly, and if our politicians do not understand this, thousands could die.”

AND

“Muslims may appear very sincere; in fact, they are sincere, when they lie for their own protection or in the cause of Islam. They have permission to lie. Yes, Christians have also lied but never are they given permission to lie. However, a Muslim has no guilt since the Koran and Hadith permit his deception.

Muslims have no hope for eternal salvation without their good works, so they must keep working to advance Islam. If a few lies will accomplish that goal, then lying is not bad but good. If they can get good publicity for Islam by lying, then lying is acceptable, even desirable.

They will lie to make Islam more attractive to potential converts as they speak of “no compulsion in religion” while all of them know that verse was abrogated by later verses. They will quote verses that speak of tolerance and kindness knowing that those verses were written when Mohammed was desirous of “tolerance and kindness,” but when he climbed into the catbird seat, everything changed and he became a terrorist.”

A Research Paper

Post graduate law-research student, Yewa S Holiday (Queen Mary University of London), a barrister, wrote a thesis entitled “Article 31 of the 1951 Refugee Convention and the prosecution of asylum seekers and refugees in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.” In which she looked at the prosecution of ‘refugees’ who contravened official requirements (also see earlier arguments on this issue). The paper was supervised by two professors. The summary is:

“Article 31(1) of the Refugee Convention (RC) provides that refugees who have come directly ‘from a territory where their life or freedom was threatened in the sense of article 1’ shall not be penalised on account of their illegal entry or presence. This is restricted by the requirement that refugees make themselves known to the authorities without delay and show good cause for the

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

illegal entry or presence. Typically the offences include using false passports, not having a passport or using deception on entry. The thesis will explore the prosecution of asylum seekers and refugees in England and Wales.”

In essence, then, if someone genuinely escapes from a country where he is really in grave danger, he can travel to and through 'safe' countries without being penalised UNLESS he commits crimes, such as using false passports, throwing them away, or deceiving officials (there are other criminal acts).

Clearly, most of the migrants into Europe have acted criminally, so, according to the RC, may be prosecuted. This also goes for migrants who, once in a safe country, disappear (a criminal act), or commit other criminal acts such as rape, violence, etc.

The reports from throughout Europe show that the vast majority of migrants are acting illegally, both in post-entry situations and in pre-entry (throwing away papers, etc). Many also refuse proper means of examination (including finger-printing), thus allowing them to progress to the country of their choice. This contravenes the requirement to make themselves known quickly.

They also cannot “show good cause for the illegal entry or presence” because they cannot prove (a) who they are, (b) where they actually come from, (c) whether or not any danger was to themselves personally, or just nationally. On multiple counts, then, most migrants are acting illegally and cannot be considered to be “refugees”, according to the refugee Convention!

In a criminal case that reached the House of Lords, regarding R v ASFAW and reported in the Telegraph, 17th March, 2016:

“The appellant refugee (X) appealed against a decision ([2006] EWCA Crim 707, [2006] Crim LR 906) upholding her conviction for attempting to obtain services by deception. X was an Ethiopian national who had intended to travel to the United States on forged documents and seek refugee status there. She arrived in the United Kingdom on a forged passport and successfully passed through immigration control at Heathrow Airport. When she attempted, later in the same day, to depart for the US, she was arrested for using another forged passport. She was acquitted of using a false instrument with intent after she raised the defence in the Immigration and Asylum Act 1999 s. 31. She pleaded guilty to attempting to obtain services by

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

deception following the trial judge's ruling that the s. 31 defence was not available in respect of that offence."

For those unfamiliar with reading court proceedings, an Ethiopian woman entered the UK using a false passport at Heathrow Airport, which was not, at the time of entry, recognised as a forgery. However, that same day, she attempted to fly to the USA, using a second false passport. The aim was to seek refugee status in the USA, even though she had already reached a safe country. Even so, by using false passports she had already breached the refugee Convention rules. When she tried to apply the appeals of the Immigration and Asylum Act, 1999, the trial judge said that her claim to immunity on those grounds was inadmissible because of her use of forged passports and deception.

For an absurd reason, though her appeal against *conviction* was rejected, her appeal against the *sentence* was upheld, and she was given an absolute discharge. The fact that she entered the UK illegally and tried to leave illegally made no difference and it was even held that it would be an abuse of the criminal justice system to penalise her! Two lords objected to the finding.

What we have in the above is a dangerous precedent, for any migrant acting criminally to enter or leave a country can be excused, even though the RC says it is a crime that prevented someone obtaining a 'refugee' status. Many offenders are thus excused by clever barristers, who should be ashamed of themselves. In practice, most lawyers are more concerned with winning their case than with truth and justice.

It is my view that rather than allow wordings of existing laws to let a criminal migrant go free, and not to be deported, the law should be strengthened so that any loophole is plugged. Otherwise those who are actually economic migrants, or simply criminals seeking to subject a new country to their criminality, can enter freely with no restraints and no legal responses to criminal acts.

All is Not Well in the EU

Even as this section is being written, more EU countries are considering deporting 'refugees' (the very use of the term in the context of deportation shows an ignorance of what a refugee is – they are MIGRANTS not 'refugees'). Sweden and Finland plan to deport, and even Germany is now tightening its asylum rules (29th January 2016, hngn.com). Sweden is also following the same route as many millions are making their way to Europe and the EU is insanely allowing it

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

to happen.

Angela Merkel was responsible for the huge influx of migrants, and yet she demanded that every EU country should share the burden! It was her fault and the failure of the German people not to examine what she was doing. It took the events at Cologne to bring them up short. German towns are feeling stretched to breaking point because of migrants, and this is the experience everywhere that large numbers of them are found. Also, the places where they finally end up also experience vile criminal acts, from rape to theft and violence, including murder. The police are warned not to arrest or charge 'refugees' and the media are silenced so as not to tell the truth to citizens.

Even the Times reported on these ridiculous situations (Dominic Lawson, 13th September, 2015). Lawson wrote his piece because he was
"Exasperated by the self-congratulatory emotional tone of politicians' response to film of a drowned three-year-old boy — itself encouraged by our main news broadcasting organisations — I set out a number of reasons why following Germany's open-door policy to those displaced by the Syrian conflict would be a disaster (not least for Syria itself)."

I, too, was exasperated by the wild outcry of the world to seeing the tiny body of a three year old drowned off Turkey. Though extremely sad, that a small child should die, I saw that his death was the result of his parent's stupidity and callous exploitation of the migrant situation. It was discovered that what the father said did not match the facts. It was also found that the family had lived in Turkey for several years, so was in no danger at all. They simply wanted to get more benefits in Europe!

It is sound policy NEVER to accept Islamic stories, even if photographs accompany their supposed 'anguish', without very careful study and investigation. In the case above, there was no guarantee that the boy's father was actually his father; there was no proof that the child needed to be taken away in a boat; there was certainly no proof that the father (who was not even on the boat) was a genuine refugee – far from it. But, Westerners still like to cry their tears and sigh with uncontrolled sympathy for a people (Muslims) whose regular daily task is to lie, lie, and lie again.

And worse, they kill because Mohammed killed; they hate because Mohammed hated. This is why no word by Muslims can be taken at face value, and migrants should not be called 'refugees'. Another reason appears - not just economic migrants, but jihad migrants, whose only

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

aim is to kill, maim, and destroy. And I repeat what Donald Trump said: we **do not know** who is genuine and who is not; who is a jihadist and who is not. The reasons to be cautious and hard-nosed are many! I honestly think modern Christians who cry tears for Muslims are guilty of stupidity and carelessness that costs lives of people in the West. They are also guilty of wanting to accept into the West members of an alien, godless cult that is hated by the God they say they serve. In reality, their sympathy serves Allah.

It can be said that Muslims in Islamic countries are deluded by their culture, and that it is not their fault, because they are born into a corrupt religion. This does not hold water. As the African in the opening section said, she was born into Islam but had never read the Koran. But, once Muslims read the Koran, they become what we call 'radical'. Or, as I keep saying, they become true Muslims! And that means they murder and do vile things.

Murder is known by every man and woman to be wrong. And worldwide comments tell them it is wrong. There is no excuse. I have no sympathy for the culture angle, which is used extensively in Europe to excuse Islamic rapists. What matters to me is not their culture but what they do with it, the 'outcome'. If the outcome harms others, then those who cause the harm must be dealt with appropriately. The appropriate response to murder is the death penalty, whether applied by a judge or by soldiers. And all other infringements of the law should lead to immediate deportation.

This should of vital importance to the West, NOT the 'rights' of Muslims, whose beliefs and actions are alien to us, and cause harm. As one journalist discovered when interviewing Muslims in the West, Muslims told him they want to "stab them wherever I can find them"... that is, Christians (<http://patriotupdate.com/video-shocking-things-muslims-say-they-want-to-do-to-christians-stab-them-wherever-i-find-them/>). In the same series of interviews, similar things were said; "Catch them and kill them...", "They are animals. They are not human", "God damn them", etc. Is this not enough for you to be VERY cautious when around Muslims. Remember – they are told to smile to your face, but to hate you in the heart!

Unsafe Sentences – but 'Safe' Country!

The Criminal Cases Review Commission (CCRC) is looking at four cases of people (Muslims) from Cameroon (Africa) who entered the UK illegally. They came as refugees/asylum seekers and were jailed because of their use of false passports. If their appeal succeeds, the CCRC says hundreds of similar cases would need to be looked at. It seems that at every turn, lawyers too clever for their own good, are facilitating illegal entry. They were advised to plead guilty, and this is the crux. But, that is ridiculous – if they say they are guilty and ARE guilty, then they are...

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

guilty!

Two of the Cameroonians were granted refugee status in 2007, but *why*, when they were not really refugees escaping harm, and, while there are bandits in Cameroon, there was no war. Therefore, they failed the test of who is a genuine refugee...

"The case of R v Uxbridge Magistrates Court and another ex parte Adimi [1999] EWHC Admin 765 held that the prosecution of asylum seekers for offences arising from the use of false documents or deception was unlawful where the prosecution had occurred without regard to the protection from the imposition of penalties contained in article 31 of the Refugee Convention. The High Court noted that there were three limitations: refugees must have come directly from the country of origin to the UK; they must have presented themselves to the authorities without delay; and they must show good cause."

(<http://www.lawgazette.co.uk/law/ccrc-concern-over-advice-given-to-refugees/66102> . Also see similar accounts in <http://thejusticegap.com/2014/01/prosecuting-persecuted-impact-wrongful-convictions-refugees/>)

It was argued that the Cameroonians firstly entered the Ivory Coast, where there was war. But, they deliberately entered a war zone in order to come to the UK on false passports, so put themselves in danger deliberately. The technicality used by their lawyers is typical of legal counsel whose aim is income and not truth or prevention of harm to the UK, or the giving of a status that allowed them state benefits. In this way illegals can circumscribe the law. There appeared to be no notice taken of the fact that the men came from a non-war zone where their lives were not in danger, which is a key requirement before anyone can be called a 'refugee'.

We can fully understand the problem with genuine refugees, but very few of these have appeared in Europe in the current waves of migrants, all of whom get rid of their identification papers and passports. The vast numbers coming to Europe do not absolve officials of checking who they are. Not to check is tantamount to gross negligence, because no-one knows how many terrorists are amongst them. All without papers should be returned to their own countries, war or not.

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

And, in Christian terms, we should not import Muslims, because of their allegiance to Allah and the murderous demands made by Mohammed. It is painfully obvious that the more Muslims there are, the higher the percentage of potential killers, demands for sharia, and hatred for non-Muslims (or, Muslims of the wrong brand).

From Sweden...

Sweden is often used by people ignorant of evolving facts, as a country that welcomes refugees with open arms. This is almost true – officially. But, it is untrue socially, as most Swedes now regret having Muslims in their country in the first place. This became a stark fact when a Muslim 'teenager' murdered a Swedish girl who was helping him. As the report says, what binds all the migrants together is their ideology... and it is an ideology that hates the West and brings evil practices.

"They are Islamic, that is, "supreme over all others", especially women. Their belief assures them that lying is right, as it expands its ideology and that non-Muslims are inferior, while all women are inferior, but non-Muslim women are even more inferior, as "whores" which are given to the men by 'allah' for their reward.

Many problems arise from this and the deception is wide.

First, they are not refugees from war.

Second, if they are refugees, they are, under law, to settle in the first country that is not at war in which they find themselves in, geographically.

Third, they are not children.

If you question any of the above, you are a "racist", "xenophobe", and "Islamophobe"."

(<http://statement-analysis.blogspot.co.uk/2016/02/cost-of-deception-murder-and-state.html>)

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

Concerning the murder of the young Swedish woman;

"The Refugee Center had a knife from the kitchen go missing, but every worker present was afraid to report it lest they, too, be a "racist." If police were called, workers feared being called "racist" and losing their jobs. Calling police is "right wing", and, besides this, police fear taking action, lest they also be attacked by the migrants, and called "racist" by their own government. Many have reported "stand down" and "do not arrest" orders throughout Europe. The worst was an Islamic center where the migrants were taking turns raping a 10 year old boy, and were so fierce in their lust, that police were initially unable to rescue the boy: the Islamic pedophiles fought for their trophy prize.

The "children" are males, age 18-29, with money, cell phones and designer clothing. Some have been discovered as travelers going from country to country, while others have direct ties to terror, as "soldiers" for "allah".

The 'boy'/'teenager' who killed the girl was actually a six foot tall man with a beard! This was not reported in Sweden, though it was reported in a UK newspaper... the report was blocked from Swedish readers, and this with the tacit agreement of the newspaper (Daily Mail)! And this in a country that says one in four of Swedish women are raped – by Muslims. Female refugee workers are assaulted and verbally attacked with words like "whore" and "less than a dog". Why do they stay???

"The Islamic men pose as children because they get more free money and services. Although they stone homosexuals to death, they rape little boys because they imitate the paedophilia of their founder, the "perfect man", Mohammad."

AND

"It is hard to imagine, yet it is before us, that an entire cult was started by a man who was a pedophile, rapist, and violent through and through, but was smart enough to appeal to the basest of human nature and declare any criticism of Islam to be worthy of death. This has kept Islam free from reformation for 1400 years."

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

Unbelievably, the chief of police went on Swedish TV, NOT to condemn the murder but to say that it must have been hard for the 'boy' to grow up as a war refugee! This was, and still is, the official line police are commanded to toe! NOTHING condones murder. As a result, more and more Swedish men and women are taking steps to protect themselves. They have no choice, because the police are told not to arrest 'refugees' and not to enter places containing them! The same steps are now taking place throughout Europe. Yet, UK Christians show sympathy for 'refugees', when they have no idea who they are sympathising with, all of whom are illegals.

At the time of the murder, which was frenzied, the media reported that it was committed by a 'boy'. Though the Swedish government has now admitted he was not a boy but a grown man, no media have amended their reports. And though violent gang rape by Muslim 'refugees' is a daily occurrence in Sweden, nothing is done about it, even when women receive life-changing injuries. In short, then, evils are accepted as normal and nationals are not warned of the very serious dangers of 'refugees' in their midst.

As the Swedish writer says:

"We have not seen this form of government and media collusion since the days of Nazi Germany, as history is repeating itself, before our eyes.

The ideology that took over Europe lasted less than 2 decades.

The ideology that is now engulfing Europe and threatening America has 1400 years of success behind it.

Since 9/11, more than 28,000 terror attacks have been successfully carried out."

AND

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

"With welfare, unemployment, housing, "rights" (see new cell phones, internet), food, free medical, dental, transportation, etc, Germans are not only losing their safety, and their rights, but are being taxed and having their properties confiscated, with plans to use forced slave labor to serve the Islamists. The holocaust deniers are permitted to teach this in their schools and mosques, as the original goal of assimilation is, day by day, abandoned.

Islam is the world's most successful criminal ideology that has needed no charismatic leader to popularize it. The world quakes before it, and each month, there are about 3,000 dead or seriously wounded in "Allahu Akbar" attacks around the world.

Deception has its price."

Conclusions

This article details reasons why the West should not offer refugee or asylum status to Muslims who cannot prove their status. This is because of two factors:

Muslims are taught by the Koran and by their religious leaders to lie. They can do this easily because they do it normally in their own countries. And they are told to lie so as to give Islam the chance to infiltrate the West.

Islam is an alien pseudo-religion that devastates every country it rules. Islamic countries are ruinous to freedom, thinking and economic success. It wishes to impose a vicious sharia mentality on the West, one that is medieval in character and worthless.

The Koran teaches men and women to live wickedly and to do evil. It teaches them to lie, time and again. For this reason no Christian can believe a Muslim, no matter what he is talking about. IT IS IN THE KORAN!

ISIS promised to send us millions of migrants. A very short time later, they began to invade the West, particularly because their own Islamic brethren in other Arabic countries refused to give them refugee status or to take them in. They openly told the West that they would not allow them in, because they would cause trouble. So, they came to the West instead.

'Refugees' and 'Asylum Seekers': 2

Written by K B Napier
Friday, 08 April 2016 13:00

The most telling reason not to accept Muslims as refugees, is that almost none of them qualify as refugees, according to international conventions. One of the main tests is that the person must be fleeing not just because of war, but because in that war their own personal lives are in serious danger. Almost none satisfy this criterion!

Also, they throw away their papers and passports. This is illegal. Therefore, no-one without these documents should be allowed to pass into another country. If they do they must be sent back. Many who thus enter disappear into the country they are in. And many try to enter Western countries illegally anyway. It is fact that if someone enters illegally, they will continue to act illegally in their host country.

The majority of migrants are economic migrants or terrorists – there are almost no variations from this. Most are fit young men of fighting age, and they pour hatred and scorn upon their host countries; they commit a vast number of crimes and crime rates in the EU have risen dramatically because of it.

Therefore – and these are just a few reasons – we should not accept or help migrants. They are NOT refugees and not asylum seekers, and the presence of so many Muslims will change countries forever, reducing them to medieval slavery and mindless subjects of Allah.

Note: Article A-593, continues with more details on refugees and asylum seekers. The issue is so important that these detailed papers are very necessary for all Christians as well as for unbelievers. On the day this paper was completed, more Islamic bombs killed more Westerners in Brussels. How much more do people need before they can see the grievous folly of letting in Muslims?

© March 2016

---oOo---

{loadposition btm_address}