

## New Bible Versions. Are they just 'mistaken' or Satanic?

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

It seems that some genuine critics of new versions of the Bible are reluctant to call them 'satanic'. They are entitled to their opinion, but I think they are very wrong in their assessment. The fact that they might contain 'good points' is not a reason to accept them as just 'bibles with a few mistakes'.

Hitler loved dogs. But does this allow us to call him just a dog-lover, and let this 'good point' decide how we should view the rest of his wicked life? Or, should we simply reject his entire life? Is just a small spot of cancer worth leaving alone, because the rest of the body seems okay?

To me, referring to new versions in a good light is like submitting one's neck to the axe-man executioner commanded by Satan! The Toronto Blessing was left alone to run its course, and reformed men said "*wait and see*". But, when it ran its course, it immediately ran amok under a myriad of different guises and now charismatic error is the 'mainstream' of church life! Yet, it is satanic from top to toe.

The same was done with Alpha (see A/118), which, it was said (and I said the same thing), contained many 'good points'. Even so, I warned that we must *completely* reject BOTH movements, because Satan loves to insert his wicked errors into what is otherwise 'sound'. But, like cancer – do you allow those few errors to remain unchallenged? Or, let them grow until they take over and kill?

God certainly does not go along with the idea of allowing a movement or person to carry on without being rejected and rebuked, if it contains even the slightest of spots of sin! New versions are NOT just new versions... they are part of Satan's worldwide plan to destroy the true word of God. They help to ruin the faith of many, and misdirect those who read scripture... or what they THINK is scripture. Is this acceptable? Can genuine believers REALLY let this go without challenge and strong rebuke? Should we not cast aside ALL new versions as 'satanic'?

### The Excuse...

Once we start to allow a mix of truth and lies we slowly proceed to accept more and more lies. It is the nature of mankind, who is ready to make excuses for sin. And, as I have noted in my many researches, those who adamantly reject this or that sin might accept one tiny 'good point'. This then grows within the person's mind and heart until it affects thinking, and more 'good

## **New Bible Versions. Are they just ‘mistaken’ or Satanic?**

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

points’ are accepted. It is how Satan works!

One only has to read the thoughts and works of Westcott and Hort (which underlie ALL modern versions) to understand that they were Romanists and deceivers. Neither feature allows us a reason to accept *anything* they say or do. Do not speak of the ‘good points’ in their work – just immediately throw it out... the baby with the bath-water, a complete package!

How do you know, if you start to mellow over W&H and new versions, WHICH parts are true and which are not? How do you KNOW the ‘good points’ are genuine ‘good points’ and not just disguised errors? Can you not see the grave danger? Do you really think God accepts Satan as righteous, just because he deceives men with ‘good points’? No, God condemns Satan to eternal damnation and does not allow him ANY acceptance at all!

There is no excuse for accepting an overall evil just because it contains bits and pieces of what seem ‘good’. Jesus could not have saved us if He had the smallest sin in Him. He had to be totally righteous and holy. How is it, then, that we can academically accept ‘good points’ in what is otherwise an attempt to depose God’s word? It cannot be right!

### **Examples of Rejection of Sin**

Remember what happened to Uzzah? Many people, reading his account, will murmur against the Lord, whose actions seemed puzzling to humans.

*“And the anger of the LORD was kindled against Uzzah; and God smote him there for [his] error; and there he died by the ark of God.” (2 Samuel 6:7)*

Uzzah did not commit deliberate sin. He simply put out his hand to stop the Ark from falling off the cart! Yet, God smote him dead on the spot. Even David was initially angry with what God had done. But, after the event, David was afraid of the Lord... and this was the reason why God killed Uzzah – to remind the Hebrews that His commands are righteous and not to be ignored. Uzzah did not mean to taint God’s Ark or to act against Him, but, by touching the Ark, he nevertheless sinned and God had to put him to death. Sin is sin, whether we know we have committed it, or not.

## **New Bible Versions. Are they just ‘mistaken’ or Satanic?**

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

Today, few Christians have a right attitude towards sin; they do not see it as God sees it. Just about all of us, when reading the account of Uzzah’s death, will come down on the side of Uzzah. What this shows is that we do not understand God’s nature or character. It is precisely because He is holy and to be obeyed that He HAD to kill Uzzah. So, how do you think God sees the deliberate errors in new versions, and in their authors? The jubilation of the Hebrews as they triumphantly bore the Ark from Philistine hands was crushed by the death of Uzzah, and so God’s holiness was again remembered.

*“There is an evil [which] I have seen under the sun, as an error [which] proceedeth from the ruler:*

*Folly is set in great dignity...” (Ecclesiastes 10:5,6a)*

AND

*“He that diggeth a pit shall fall into it; and whoso breaketh an hedge, a serpent shall bite him.*

*Whoso removeth stones shall be hurt therewith;” (Ecc.10:8,9a)*

Those who remove or add words and ideas to the Bible are like fools who sit on the branch they are cutting off! They dig a pit for themselves and will fall into it; the hedges they remove contain serpents who will bite them. New version authors do this same thing, because they see the Bible only as any other book. To them, words are transferrable commodities and can come or go at will. I have no doubt whatever that new version writers are condemned by God.

*“For the vile person will speak villany, and his heart will work iniquity, to practise hypocrisy, and to utter error against the LORD, to make empty the soul of the hungry, and he will cause the drink of the thirsty to fail.” (Isaiah 32:6)*

Think very hard before saying that a work of evil contains ‘some good points’! God does not see

## New Bible Versions. Are they just 'mistaken' or Satanic?

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

it that way. It is indeed villainy and hypocritical to change God's word, because it *"utter(s) error against the LORD"*

and its effect on men is to

*"make empty the soul of the hungry"*.

See it as God sees it – every letter, every word, every phrase, removed or changed in His word, is like a slap in His face, a deliberate challenge to His power and authority. The 'good points' only serve to highlight this wicked behaviour, seducing readers into a spiritual lull, ready for more deception.

Daniel was saved from extermination ONLY because he was faithful and *"neither was there any error or fault found in him."*

(Daniel 6:4). Not one error! Do you think his life would have been spared if only one error was found in him? No. Rather, the one error would have been enough to destroy his life, though the rest of his character might have been perfect.

In Romans 1 we see that the error of homosexuals was (and still is) sufficient to brand them as wicked and bound for hell. God does NOT say that because the rest of an homosexual's life has its 'good points', he or she will be acceptable. No – the error they display sends them to hell! That is why a man who commits error must be warned and, when necessary, shunned (James 5:20), that he may, possibly, be saved from destruction. But, such saving cannot occur if he retains his error. His 'good points' do not outweigh the fact that he commits error.

Just *one* sin is enough to send a man to hell. Even if he does not sin, he will enter hell anyway just for being born... because the principle of sin is part of his nature. So, how can any of us claim that a new version is acceptable because of a few 'good points'? If the work is based on, and perpetuates, error, it is just not acceptable. It is why we should escape from those who *"live in error"*

(2 Peter 2:18). Are you really saying that a man who corrupts God's written word is counted by God to be righteous? Or, that what he has written is acceptable? If God demands a spotless Lamb, do you think He will accept any old offering from men who dispute His very word? I do not think so.

To accept 'spotted' truth is to accept what God does not. One tiny error grows until it taints everything. What we SHOULD do is to get rid of the tiniest spot of sin in the first place, allowing it no leeway or commendation (2 Peter 3:17), because what we think of as tiny, is Satan's dart entering into a small crack in our armour.

## **New Bible Versions. Are they just ‘mistaken’ or Satanic?**

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

Those who refuse God’s truth will not hear what I am saying in this paper. They will arrogantly remain in their error. It is their refusal to admit their error, even with prevailing facts, that proves their failure (1 John 4:6). As Paul said, follow me only if I follow Christ! The importance is NOT to follow me, or that I personally am disgruntled by anyone who does not do as I say – but to follow the Lord.

And, it must be admitted that those who write new versions are heading for much money. It colours what they do and warps their thinking (Jude 1:11). Some who have worked on new versions have admitted this to be so.

### **The Revelation Says It**

Now, do you think the last words in the Revelation only apply to the book itself?

*“For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book:*

*And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and [from] the things which are written in this book.” (Revelation 22:18,19)*

It is commonly held that this kind of command ONLY applies to the book of Revelation. Indeed, it specifically refers to that book. I have a simple question, if this is your view. It is this: “Do you believe that the whole Bible is inspired by the Lord?”

Is your answer ‘yes’? I sincerely hope so, because EVERY word of God is given equal weight by the Lord.

*“All scripture [is] given by inspiration of God, and [is] profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:*

## **New Bible Versions. Are they just ‘mistaken’ or Satanic?**

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

*That the man of God may be perfect, throughly furnished unto all good works.” (2 Timothy 3:17)*

Note that – ALL scripture is inspired by God! “All”, *pas*, means literally every part, the whole, everything. EVERY part is profitable for doctrine (because every word in scripture IS doctrine), reproof, correction and instruction. It is by every word INTACT that man can be perfect. It is possible because every man of God has a live spirit, which God uses to give him understanding (Job 32:8). So, if words are changed because of corrupt sources, doctrine becomes skewed and poisonous; useless.

*“Every word of God is pure: he is a shield unto them that put their trust in him.” (Proverbs 30:5).* Remove, add, or change any of the words of God and they are no longer of God, and will not instruct us or shield us from harm. The ‘new’ traditions of the new versions and the fake lives they engender cause the true word of God to flounder and have no effect (Mark 7:13). It should be our task and love to only preach the word of God AS GIVEN and not a new thing filled with flaws and errors.

*“For we are not as many, which corrupt the word of God: but as of sincerity, but as of God, in the sight of God speak we in Christ.” (2 Corinthians 2:17)*

Most Christians have no idea what the Greek and Hebrew say, unless they rely on those who are ‘experts’. But, how will the same people KNOW if an expert tells them the truth, or not, if his source is corrupt? If one part of what he says is corrupt, WHY rely on him in other parts? Is it not better to discard EVERYTHING he says, rather than be put at risk? If you have no idea what he bases his work on, there is no way you should place confidence in what he says, at any time. It is why the early churches cast out and shunned heretics!

*“But have renounced the hidden things of dishonesty, not walking in craftiness, nor handling the word of God deceitfully; but by manifestation of the truth commending ourselves to every man’s conscience in the sight of God.” (2 Cor. 4:2)*

I have shown in other articles (KJAV series) that new versions are firmly rooted in corrupt sources, and authors KNOW this to be so. They have no excuse. The people who produced the KJAV, on the other hand, referred to genuine sources. The authors of new versions are guilty of “*handling the word of God deceitfully*”. Would you accept having a tiny spot of cancer grafted

## New Bible Versions. Are they just 'mistaken' or Satanic?

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

into your body? Then, why allow seemingly 'small errors' to cause you to accept a new version?

The trouble is, men who rewrote scripture as new versions tend to think the bible is 'only another book'. Therefore, they treat it with the same disregard and contempt. It is because they do not have a due regard for God's word that they produce their own version of what God says.

*"For this cause also thank we God without ceasing, because, when ye received the word of God which ye heard of us, ye received [it] not [as] the word of men, but as it is in truth, the word of God, which effectually worketh also in you that believe."*

(1 Thessalonians 2:13)

What, then, is the word of God to you – the work of men or the very word of God? The work of men who allow any and all sins to proliferate, or the word of God that will lead to the instant death of a man for breaking God's law? Modern versions just do not pass muster! They are lame shadows with no substance or power. But, the word of God, still best read in the KJAV, is powerful and very, very different:

*"For the word of God [is] quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and [is] a discernor of the thoughts and intents of the heart."* (Hebrews 4:12)

New versions remove vital words, or add human ones, or change meanings by interpretation and not by strict translation. Thus, they use a sword with only one blunted edge, without power or divine majesty.

We are not only born again of the Spirit when we are saved. It took the purity and holiness of Christ to save us. He was/is incorruptible, as is the true word of God. But, new versions are filled with corruption, so we must have nothing to do with them.

## **New Bible Versions. Are they just ‘mistaken’ or Satanic?**

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

*“Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever.”*

(1 Peter 1:23)

To be able to give us this incorruptible seed the word of God itself must be incorruptible. Automatically, this means we may not read and accept anything founded on a corrupt source. One drop of a certain potent virus can contaminate a whole reservoir of drinking water and kill an entire town. This is what happens spiritually when just one corruption is allowed into God’s word.

### **Conclusion**

If you allow some parts of a corrupt new version to be ‘good points’, there is no way for you to know *which* are the ‘good points’ or to discover if they ARE ‘good points’ to begin with. This puts you in grave danger of being deceived. Also, if you accept an entire new version on the strength of the ‘good points’, it means, logically, that you have also accepted the parts that ARE corrupt. How do you think this sits with Almighty God, Who is a jealous God? What effects will it have on your life – good or bad? Will you be poisoned by the small portions of corruption? Of course you will!

Similarly, many ‘ummed’ and ‘ah’d’ over the deceptive Alpha Course: today it is a main weapon used by Rome. So much for accepting the ‘good points’! The same happened with the Toronto Blessing and charismatic error in general. Today, charismatic deception fills the churches worldwide and has contaminated the very Gospel of Jesus Christ! So much for accepting the whole because of ‘good points’!

Think very hard about it. You must either cast away new versions as a whole, or accept them because of supposed ‘good points’. Once you do that, you open a chink in your armour and Satan immediately sends his darts to strike your heart. Think this does not happen, or that you are astute enough to withstand error? Then read scripture again and see what happened to those who tried the same tactic. See how God sees error. Note how he instantly put Uzzah to death, though he was otherwise a good and religious man.

New versions are corrupt. They are poisonous to the soul. Do not die unnecessarily!

## New Bible Versions. Are they just 'mistaken' or Satanic?

Written by K B Napier

Wednesday, 30 January 2013 11:30

---

© January 2013

---oOo---

{loadposition btm\_address}